Case Decision

Décision du jury

As of TUE 10 NOV 2026 at 18:55

CASE No. 3

Event: M Windsurfing	**Race:** 7
Initiator: HUN	**Respondent:** RC

Protest details: The Start of the 7th racae got general recall, but there was no rib crossing the fleet infoming them that it is A general recall. I was started from the pin, so i was not able to see the flag on the RC boat. I was pumping the hall upwind. Only when I was close to the upwind mark there was a boat beeping, so it was the time when i was able tobrealise the situation.
I had to pump hard again in down wind to get the next start. I did not have time to rest anything.
I think i would have been able to make a better result if i could got the information earlier.

I would like to get redress and get the avrage of my points fron the hall event.

Procedural matters:

1. HUN was represented by Florian Katai (athlete).
2. The Race Committee was represented by Corinne Aubert (WS Course Representative).
3. Bence Santa (coach of HUN) attended the hearing as an observer.

Facts found:

1. Three seconds after the starting signal of race 7, the Race Committee signalled a General Recall by displaying the First Substitute flag with two sound signals.
2. HUN, starting at the pin end of the starting line, which was 150m in length, did not see or hear the signal and kept sailing.
3. Approximately 3 minutes after the General Recall was signalled, the Race Committee noticed that 5 boards continued to sail to mark 1. Immediately after this, the Race Committee Mark 1 vessel displayed the November flag to those 5 boards.
4. HUN and the other boards returned to the starting area.
5. HUN was at the Race Committee vessel at the time of the warning signal for the restart of race 7.
6. HUN was in time for the starting signal of the restart of race 7 and sailed the race.

Conclusion:

1. The Race Committee signalled the General Recall by displaying the First Substitute flag with two sound signals in accordance with RRS 29.2.
2. Hence, there was neither an improper action nor improper omission of the Race Committee. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(1) are not met.

Rule(s) applicable:
RRS 29.2, and 61.4(b)(1)

Decision:

Redress is not given.

Jury:
Finn Mrugalla (GER), Anastazja Lewandowska (POL), Elizabeth Rouget (CAN), Vicky Chan (HKG), Patric Loydell (RSA)

OMEGA

Case Decision

Décision du jury

As of TUE 10 NOV 2026 at 18:55

Signed: _____ Decision Issued: 10 NOV 2026 Time: 17:30

Finn Mrugalla, Chairman

Legend:
No. Number **RC** Race Committee